## Hyper Velocity Stars from the Galactic Centre

Elena Maria Rossi The Hebrew University

Collaborators Shiho Kobayashi & Re'em Sari

#### Hypervelocity Stars

Population of ~15 mostly B-type stars in Galactic halo with velocities exceeding Galactic escape velocity

Observational results from Brown et al. (2007)



Distribution of 1000 B-type stars in Galactic rest frame

Minimum velocities and Distances for identified HVS

## HVS from tidal break-up of binaries by Galactic Centre supermassive black hole?

"A close but Newtonian encounter between a tightly bound binary and a 10<sup>6</sup> Solar mass black hole causes one binary component to become bound to the black hole and the other to be ejected at up to 4,000 km s<sup>-1</sup>."



#### Where does the ejection energy come from?

Binary: separation a, total mass m, orbital velocity  $v_0$ , is disrupted at distance  $r_1$  from black hole mass M

Tidal radius: 
$$r_t \approx \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/3} a$$

...where black hole tidal force overcomes binary gravitational attraction

#### <u>Work done by star-star forces within binary:</u>

$$\frac{Gm}{a^2} \times r_t \approx \frac{GM}{a} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/3} \approx v_0^2 \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/3}$$
force per  
unit mass distance over  
which force acts distance over  
which force acts distance over  
which force acts distance over  
which is ~10v\_0 for GC

We wish to know

 Fate of binary upon encounter with BH (probability of disruption)

• Ejection energy distribution

#### An old approach to an old problem...

Formation of HVS considered by many authors: Hills (1988); Yu & Tremaine ('03); Gould & Quillen ('03); Bromley et al '07, Perets et al 07, Sesana et al. ('07)... using 3-body simulations

> "New" approach with ``old method" (Sari, Kobayashi & Rossi '09):

 <u>analytically</u> simplify equations of motion and Energy, using fact that M >> m

#### Simplifying the equations of motion

Now define separation between stars  $r = r_2 - r_1$  and position of *effective* centre of mass relative to black hole  $r_m$ 

$$\frac{M}{m} \gg 1 \rightarrow \frac{r_{\rm t}}{a} \gg 1$$

so separation between stars is small compared to distance to BH

 $\hat{r}$ 

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{GM}{r_m^3}\mathbf{r} + \frac{3GM}{r_m^5}\left(\mathbf{rr}_m\right)\mathbf{r}_m - \frac{GM}{r^3}\mathbf{r}$$

easier and faster to integrate numerically
In limit of no mutual gravity, analytic solutions

#### Energy: what is the star's final fate?

Calculate energy of star including black hole potential and kinetic energy only :

$$E_1 = -rac{GMm_1}{r_1} + m_1 \left|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_1
ight|^2/2\,.$$

OK to ignore binary binding energy as

$$V_{HVS}^2 \sim v_0^2 \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/3} \to v_0^2 \simeq \frac{Gm}{a} \ll V_{HVS}^2$$

Simplifying it using M/m we already obtain important analytical results...

# Defining $D=r_p/r_1$ ( $r_p$ periapsis of centre of mass orbit, $r_1$ tidal radius)

$$E_{1} = -E_{2} = -\frac{Gm_{1}m_{2}}{a D} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/3} \times \left[\frac{r_{p}^{2}}{r_{m}^{2}}\vec{r}\hat{r}_{m} + \frac{\vec{r_{m}}}{r_{p}}\dot{\vec{r}}\right]$$

- main dependence in first term analytic, second term in brackets must be computed numerically
- [...] is mass independent, linear in co-ordinates such that

Implies P(ejection) is <u>equal</u> for the more and less massive stars

Energy distribution is also independent of mass: <u>hence velocity</u> increases with lower mass

- numerically we find -27 <[...]< 27
- Note : apparent divergence as 1/D

### Radial limit

Investigate apparent divergence by studying radial limit (degenerate limit of parabola)

Final energy:

$$E_1 \propto \frac{Gm_1m_2}{a} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/3}$$

• divergence is NOT real

- in fact, highest ejection velocities are for non-zero D
- the proportionality constant is bound [-2,2]
- and even for D = 0 fraction (~10%) survives passage
- 90% cases binary gets harder

### Behaviour close to the BH of deep penetrating binaries



Frame of the primary, secondary leaves but comes back !



#### The secondary comes back but they separate again



- mean ejection velocity is ~constant with D
- allowing for finite size of stars (bottom panel) does not change conclusion

### Maximum ejection velocities

 $\bullet$  m<sub>1</sub>=m<sub>2</sub>

$$egin{split} v_{
m max} &= 1.3 imes \sqrt{rac{2Gm_2}{R_{
m min}}} \left(rac{M}{m}
ight)^{1/6} = 0.9 \; v_{
m esc} \left(rac{M}{m}
ight)^{1/6} \ &= 9 v_{
m esc} \sim 7000 \; {
m Km \; s^{-1}} \end{split}$$

 $\bullet$  m<sub>2</sub> << m<sub>1</sub>

$$v_{\rm max} = 1.3 \times v_{\rm esc} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/6} \sim 10000 \ {\rm Km \ s^{-1}}$$

### The velocity distributions of HVSs

$$v = \left\{ egin{array}{c} \sqrt{rac{2Gm_{
m c}}{a}} \left(rac{M}{m}
ight)^{1/6} & {
m for} \ r_{
m p} \leq r_{
m t} \\ 0 & {
m othewise} \end{array} 
ight.$$

Statistical description of approaching binaries

$$f(a) \propto 1/a; ~f(m_{
m c}) \propto m_{
m c}^{-lpha}; ~f(r_{
m p}) = {
m constant}$$

Fixing the HVS mass  $m_*$ ,  $m = m_* + m_c$ 

Rossi et al in prep.

#### Results Full loss cone regime

$$\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{v}} \propto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{v}^{-2} & \text{for } \mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{min}}, \\ \mathbf{v}^{-2(\alpha-1)} & \text{for } \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{min}} \leq \mathbf{v} < \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{max}}. \end{array} \right.$$

**α>2** 

For  $\alpha$ >2.35 v<sup>-2.7</sup>

$$v_{\min} = v(R_*, m_c) \sim 700 \text{ Km s}^{-1}$$
  
 $v_{\max} \simeq v_{esc,*} \left(\frac{M}{2m_*}\right)^{1/6} \simeq 5000 - 7000 \text{ Km s}^{-1}$ 

Slope is very steep > 2 for any  $\alpha$  and also equal mass binaries

# Back-up slides

$$E_1 = -E_2 = -\frac{Gm_1m_2}{a\,D} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{1/3} \times \left[\frac{r_p^2}{r_m^2}\vec{r}\hat{r}_m + \frac{\vec{r_m}\cdot\vec{r}_p}{r_p}\cdot\vec{r}\right]$$

#### compute numerically and plot as f(D,binary phase)

- E(φ)=-E(φ+π)
- non-disrupted
   cases to D=0
- numerical factor is finite
- easier to disrupt prograde binaries

